Energy Use In Shrimp Farming
Study In Australia Keys On Aeration, Pumping Demands

Shrimp aquaculture in Australia began around three decades ago, when farmers followed the model pioneered in Taiwan and then Thailand by largely stocking *Penaeus monodon* semi-intensively in earthen ponds on coastal land in or near estuaries. After starting down that path, rising production costs and import competition have required the farmers to use more, not less, total energy to further improve their production efficiency.

Today, many farms produce 10 mt/ha/crop of shrimp, when 2 or 3 mt/ha may once have been the norm. Since having more shrimp raises the need for more aeration and water exchange, added energy is not wasted, it simply scales to the larger size of modern crops. Under these circumstances, rising energy costs are addressed by closer scrutiny of energy use during production, as well as attention to the origin of the electricity.

The authors studied six intensive shrimp farms in Australia to better understand their energy needs and examined how they might improve consumption and energy efficiency as well as approach alternative energy generation on the farms. This work was supported by the Australian Prawn Farmers Association and the Australian government’s Farm Ready program. While the findings are of most relevance to Australia, placing these results in a global context reveals how intensification and farming innovation have already triggered large shifts in shrimp farm energy efficiency.

**Summary:**
While intensive shrimp farms in Australia and elsewhere are sensitive to rising energy prices, global intensification and farming innovations have led to significant improvements in shrimp farm energy efficiency. Important among the changes was the global switch to zero-exchange production of white shrimp. Black tiger shrimp producers can adopt some features of the model for white shrimp, such as the use of genetically selected, high-health stocks, but it remains to be seen how far down the zero-exchange path they can go.

**Sources Of Energy Demand**
Energy consumption on Australian farms was dominated by electricity, although obviously some liquid petroleum gas or diesel fuel was used for heating in hatcheries or processing. The average farm electricity footprint was scaled to production at 6.5 MWh/mt shrimp.

Aeration dominated the direct energy budget for a shrimp farm in Australia (Table 1), but the lack of pandemic shrimp pathogens in Australia, coupled with careful regulation of farm discharges, means that pumping for seawater exchange to a level specific to each location continues. As farms in Australia also tend to be large – up to 70 ha in pond area – they also typically process product on site.

**Green Energy**
A 50-ha intensive shrimp farm in Australia demands a supply of about 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demand</th>
<th>Energy Footprint (MWh/mt)</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aeration</td>
<td>~ 4-5</td>
<td>Peaking at ~ 15 kW/ha&lt;br&gt;1.5 kW – Paddlewheels&lt;br&gt;1.5 kW – Aspirators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pumping</td>
<td>~ 1</td>
<td>Scaled to farm size ≥ 5 kW/ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processing</td>
<td>~ 1</td>
<td>Freezing, chilling, ice making and air-conditioning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Given that aerators are often operated continuously in Australia, aeration is an important area for gaining energy efficiency.

**Table 1. Estimated contribution of production functions to overall energy footprint of *Penaeus monodon* farming in northern Australia.**
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MW of electricity from the distribution grid. Farms typically use emergency diesel generators to supply this demand during weather-related blackouts, but perhaps they can divert money from future rising power bills into “green” energy on farm.

Even with today’s technology, a nearby 1-MW biomass-fueled power station could probably compete successfully with grid electricity. But can enough fuel – sugar cane trash, for example – be diverted from nearby agriculture? Otherwise, an intensive farm’s need for constant aeration is not a natural fit with solar photovoltaic or wind power.

Ironically, large users like shrimp farms with a relatively steady demand may be among the last to cut the umbilical connection to the grid. Green energy comes into contention far sooner for small users, like households that already pay higher tariffs because their demand often fluctuates a great deal through the day.

**Aeration, Pumping**

Aeration remains an important area where efficiencies may be gained. Given that aerators operate continuously for long periods, it is possible to calculate electricity use simply using the total length of the crop and the average number of kilowatts used per hectare. The value for the total harvest can be converted to an electricity footprint (Table 2).

As seen in the table, the average aeration footprint for farms in Australia over the last decade has been sitting just below 4 MWh/mt. To reduce this would take a significant improvement in aerator efficiency.

A pressing problem in Australia is that pumping spikes that coincide with high tide incur steep cost penalties from electricity providers. So while pumping is generally a small fraction of the electricity use, under some circumstances, it can represent up to half of the annual electricity bill. Overseas experience has shown that stopping pumping to maintain biosecurity certainly improves the energy efficiency of intensive *Litopenaeus vannamei* production, but can the practice become routine for farming *Penaeus monodon*?

**Energy Use**

**At *P. monodon* Farms**

Growing a 10-mt crop of black tiger shrimp, *P. monodon*, in Australia requires about 40 MWh of aeration. This value is close to average in terms of published data on energy use for shrimp production in other countries (Figure 1). This graph shows, as one would expect, that a highly intensive crop in a 1-ha pond needs more energy than a less-intensive crop. How-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Production Year</th>
<th>Duration (days)</th>
<th>Average Crop Aeration (kW/ha)</th>
<th>Electricity Demand (kWh)</th>
<th>Crop Harvested (mt/ha)</th>
<th>Electricity Footprint (kWh/mt)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>14,263</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3,753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>31,200</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>3,900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Examples of pond aeration footprints using historical aeration levels and harvests for *Penaeus monodon* growing in 1-ha ponds in northern Australia.
ever, the overall fitted slope is not the most interesting feature.

The variation shows that the energy footprint for growing a given tonnage of shrimp in a 1-ha area has fallen 10 to 20 MWh in the last three decades. This even occurs in the semi-intensive part of the curve, where historically the size of the crop had little bearing on the energy use.

Even though global shrimp production has been rising, the industry is using energy more frugally. The near-mandatory switch to zero-exchange production of *L. vannamei* for biosecurity contributed to the overall improvement in efficiency. While the shrimp biomass:aeration ratio is quite favorable for *L. vannamei*, the reduced pumping energy accompanies shorter crop times and (ideally) reliable survival from high-health postlarvae.

Reading the figure, if yield falls unexpectedly – due to disease, for example – in a pond at a fixed level of aeration, then the MWh/mt value will deteriorate (shift left). Importantly, the reverse holds true to a point if yield can be increased under a fixed amount of aeration, say through higher stocking levels or survival.

Of course, unless better aerators with superior standard aeration efficiency are adopted, this last point was only possible because the broader demands of circulation and mixing in lightly stocked ponds meant that aeration sometimes exceeded the needs of the intended shrimp biomass. There was “room” to squeeze more shrimp into the pond.

**The Future**

There may be limits to how far energy use at *P. monodon* farms can be pushed down into “vannamei” territory. The fortunate lack of pandemic shrimp diseases in Australia has reduced the biosecurity imperative for zero-exchange farming. Yet the price signal from electricity companies is telling farmers to find alternatives to high-volume pumping, or at least find a cheaper way to continue using exchange pumps.

While black tiger shrimp culture may not benefit as much from biofloc production in terms of aeration and energy use as *L. vannamei* farming does, partial implementation of floc principles is already showing promise for *P. monodon*. In the meantime, energy use will at least keep moving in the right direction if new feeds and genetic selection can keep yield high and crop duration as short as possible.